arXiv:math-ph/0407001v2 6 Nov 2004

BLOW UP FOR THE SEMILINEAR WAVE EQUATION IN SCHWARZSCHILD METRIC

DAVIDE CATANIA AND VLADIMIR GEORGIEV

Abstract. We study the semilinear wave equation in Schwarzschild metric (3 + 1 dimensional space–time). First, we establish that the problem is locally well–posed in H^σ for any $\sigma \geqslant 1$; then we prove the blow up of the solution for every $p \in]1, 1+\sqrt{2}[$ and non–negative non–trivial initial data.

The work is dedicated to prof. Yvonne Choquet–Bruhat in occasion of her 80th year.

1. Introduction

Consider the manifold

$$M = R \times \Omega,\ \mathbf{\Omega} = \{(r, \omega) : r > 2M,\quad \omega \in \mathbb{S}^2\} = (2M, \infty) \times \mathbb{S}^2,$$

equipped with the Schwarzschild metric having the form (see chapter V in [5] or chapter 31 in [22]):

$$g = F(r)\, dt^2 - F(r)^{-1}\, dr^2 - r^2\, d\omega^2. \tag{1.1}$$

Here

$$F(r) = 1 - \frac{2M}{r},$$

the constant $M > 0$ has the interpretation of mass and $d\omega^2$ is the standard metric on the unit sphere $\mathbf{S}^2$.

The D'Alembert operator associated with the metric g is

$$\Box_g = \frac{1}{F}\left(\partial_t^2 - \frac{F}{r^2}\partial_r(r^2F)\partial_r - \frac{F}{r^2}\Delta_{\mathbf{S}^2}\right),$$

where $\Delta_{\mathbf{S}^2}$ denotes the standard Laplace–Beltrami operator on $\mathbf{S}^2$.

Our goal is to study the existence of global solution to the corresponding Cauchy problem for the semilinear wave equation

$$\Box_g u = |u|^p \qquad \text{in } [0, \infty[\times \mathbf{\Omega}. \tag{1.2}$$

This problem can be considered as a natural analogue of the classical semilinear wave equation

$$\Box_{g_0} u = |u|^p \qquad \text{in } [0, \infty[\times \mathbf{R}^n, \tag{1.3}$$

Key words and phrases. AMS Subject Classification: 35L70, 35L05, 58J45. Key words: wave equation, blow up of solutions, Schwarzschild metric.

The authors are partially supported by Research Training Network (RTN) HYKE, financed by the European Union, contract number: HPRN–CT–2002–00282. The second author was visiting the University of Nantes in May 2004, where part of this work was prepared. He is grateful to prof. Georgi Vodev for the hospitality during his visit.

where g_0 is the flat Minkowski metric

$$g_0 = dt^2 - dr^2 - r^2\, d\omega^2. \tag{1.4}$$

It is well–known (see [15], [16], [11], [26], [27], [28], [9], [14] or the review in [8] for a more complete list of references on the subject) that for any space dimension $n \geqslant 2$, there exists a critical value $p_0 = p_0(n) > 1$ such that the Cauchy problem for (1.3) admits a global small data solution provided $p > p_0(n)$.

For subcritical values of $p \leqslant p_0(n)$, a blow–up phenomenon is manifested. In the case of space dimension $n = 3$, the critical exponent is $p_0(3) = 1 + \sqrt{2}$, while in the general case of space dimension $n \geqslant 2$, the critical exponent is defined as the positive solution to

$$(n-1)p^2 - (n+1)p - 2 = 0.$$

The blow up results in [15], [16], [11], [26], [27] require a suitable comparison principle for the free wave equation. One further remark is connected with the fact that the critical exponent $p_0(n)$ is the same for the smaller class of radially symmetric solutions.

The dispersive properties of the solution to the linear problem

$$\Box_g u = \Phi \qquad \text{in } [0, \infty[\times \mathbf{\Omega} \tag{1.5}$$

with zero initial data, depend essentially on the distribution of resonances for the operator

$$P = \frac{F}{r^2}\partial_r (r^2 F)\partial_r + \frac{F}{r^2}\Delta_{\mathbf{S}^2}. \tag{1.6}$$

This problem is studied in [1], [25] and in [1] it is shown that the resolvent $R(z) = (z^2 - P)^{-1}$ can be extended as a meromorphic function (as an operator from $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ to $C^\infty(\Omega)$) from $\{z \in \mathbb{C}; \Im z > 0\}$ to $\mathbb{C} \backslash i\mathbb{R}$. The result in [25] shows that the resolvent can be extended further to a meromorphic function in the whole complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. The corresponding poles of the resolvent are called resonances and they are isolated and have finite rank. Moreover, there exists a strip of type

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C}; |\Im z| < \varepsilon\} \tag{1.7}$$

free of resonances. This phenomena is similar to the situation of the exterior domain of several convex obstacles, studied in [12], where similar domain free is resonances is found. The approach in [12] leads to exponential decay of the local energy with derivative losses. For corresponding result for curved metrics under additional non – trapping condition one can see [21].

Despite of these results it seems (according to the knowledge of the authors) that the proof of some concrete dispersive estimates for the wave equation in Schwarzschild metric meets the essential difficulty that there is no simple explicit representation of the corresponding fundamental solution to the D'Alambert operator in Schwarzschild metric. Similar difficulty is manifested, when one tries to adapt the approach of F.John from [15], [16] to the semilinear problem (1.2) and to show blow - up for some subcritical values of p.

Our main goal in this work is to study the semilinear wave equation in the presence of Schwarzschild metric and to show a blow - up result for $1 < p < 1+\sqrt{2}$.

The first step is to study the local (in time) Cauchy problem and to show the well–posedeness in suitable Sobolev spaces.

Introducing the Regge–Wheeler coordinate

$$s(r) = r + 2M \log(r - 2M), \tag{1.8}$$

we can rewrite equation (1.2) as (see section 2)

$$\partial_t^2 u - \partial_s^2 u - \frac{2F}{r(s)}\partial_s u - \frac{F}{r(s)^2}\Delta_{\mathbf{S}^2} u = F|u|^p, \tag{1.9}$$

where

$$F = F(s) = 1 - \frac{2M}{r(s)}$$

and $r(s)$ is the function inverse to (1.8).

For simplicity (and with no loss of generality), we shall restrict our considerations to the case of solutions of the form $u = u(t,s)$. Then (1.9) is simplified to the following equation:

$$\partial_t^2 u - \partial_s^2 u - \frac{2F}{r(s)}\partial_s u = F|u|^p. \tag{1.10}$$

Making further the substitution (see section 2)

$$u(t,s) = \frac{v(t,s)}{r(s)},$$

we obtain the semilinear problem

$$\partial_t^2 v + Gv = Fr^{1-p}|v|^p, \tag{1.11}$$

where

$$G = -\partial_s^2 + \frac{2MF}{r^3}. \tag{1.12}$$

First, we study the local existence of the solution to the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 v + Gv = Fr^{1-p}|v|^p, \\ v(0,s) = v_0(s), \qquad \partial_t v(0,s) = v_1(s). \end{cases} \tag{1.13}$$

Our next result states that the problem is locally well–posed in H^σ for any $\sigma \geqslant 1$.

Theorem 1.1. *Given any $\sigma \geqslant 1$ and any real number $R > 0$, one can find $T = T(R)$ so that if the initial data*

$$v_0 \in \mathrm{H}^\sigma(\mathbf{R}), \qquad v_1 \in \mathrm{H}^{\sigma-1}(\mathbf{R})$$

satisfy

$$\|v_0\|_{\mathrm{H}^\sigma(\mathbf{R})} + \|v_1\|_{\mathrm{H}^{\sigma-1}(\mathbf{R})} \leqslant R,$$

then the Cauchy problem (1.13) *has a unique solution*

$$v(t,s) \in \mathcal{C}^0([0,T[;\mathrm{H}^\sigma(\mathbf{R})) \cap \mathcal{C}^1([0,T[;\mathrm{H}^{\sigma-1}(\mathbf{R})).$$

To study the maximal time interval of existence of solutions to the wave equation in Schwarzschild metric

$$\begin{cases} \Box_g u = |u|^p & \text{in } [0,\infty[\times\mathbf{\Omega}, \\ u(0)=u_0, \quad u_t(0)=u_1 & \text{in } \mathbf{\Omega}, \end{cases} \tag{1.14}$$

we suppose that our initial data are radial

$$u_0 = u_0(r), u_1 = u_1(r), \ (u_0,u_1) \in \mathbf{H}^2((2M,\infty)) \times \mathbf{H}^1((2M,\infty))$$

and that there exists a compact interval $\mathbf{B} \doteq \overline{\mathbf{B}(r_0,R)} \doteq \{|r-r_0| \leqslant R\} \subset (2M,\infty)$, so that

$$\begin{cases} u_0(r), \ u_1(r) \geqslant 0 & \text{almost everywhere}, \\ u_0(r) = u_1(r) = 0 & \text{for } \ |r-r_0| \geqslant R, \\ \int_{2M}^{\infty} u_j(r)\, dr \geqslant \varepsilon & j=0,1 \end{cases} \tag{1.15}$$

for positive constants ε, $R>0$ and $r_0 = r_0(\varepsilon,p) \in \Omega$. We also assume that r_0 is near $2M$ for $p \in]2, 1+\sqrt{2}[$, far from it for $p \in]1,2[$ (we make no assumption in the case $p=2$).

Now we can state the main result.

Theorem 1.2. *For any p, $1<p<1+\sqrt{2}$ there exists a positive number ε_0 so that for any $\varepsilon \in (0,\varepsilon_0)$ there exists $r_0 = r_0(p,\varepsilon)$ and $R = R(p,\varepsilon)$ so that for any initial data*

$$u_0 = u_0(r), u_1 = u_1(r), \ (u_0,u_1) \in \mathbf{H}^2((2M,\infty)) \times \mathbf{H}^1((2M,\infty))$$

satisfying (1.15) in $B \doteq \overline{\mathbf{B}(r_0,R)}$, there exists a positive number $T = T(\varepsilon) < \infty$ and a solution

$$u \in \cap_{k=0}^{2} \mathcal{C}^k([0,T[;\mathbf{H}^{2-k}((2M,\infty))$$

of (1.14) such that

$$\lim_{t \nearrow T} ||u(t)||_{\mathbf{L}^2((2M,\infty))} = \infty.$$

The above result means that the wave equation in Schwarzschild metric has a similar critical exponent as the free wave equation. However, the proof we follow here suggests that the lifespan of the solution has a completely different behavior — it might be much longer than in the corresponding flat case (see lemma 3.2 below).

The main difficulty to establish the blow up of the solution is connected with the sign changing properties of the fundamental solution of the linear wave equation in Schwarzschild metric (or more generally in curved metrics). For the case of flat $1+3$ Minkowski metric the fundamental solution is non - negative and this property is used effectively in the study of the blow - up phenomena for the corresponding semilinear wave equation.

The proof of the main theorem is based on the application of a variant of the classical Kato's lemma (see lemma 3.1 below) for an average of type

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} v(t,s)\psi_0(s)\, ds, \tag{1.16}$$

where v is a solution to (1.11) and $\psi_0 = \varphi_0 - D > 0$, with φ_0 being a suitable solution to

$$\left(-\partial_s^2 + \frac{2MF}{r^3}\right)\varphi_0 = 0. \tag{1.17}$$

In the flat case (i.e. in the case $M = 0$), it is trivial that (1.17) has a bounded solution (all constants are bounded solutions). However, in the case of $M > 0$, we shall find a special solution satisfying the following conditions at infinity:

$$\begin{cases} |\varphi_0(s) - bs| \lesssim \log(2+s) & \text{for } s \geqslant 0, \\ \varphi_0(s) \sim |s|\, \mathrm{e}^s/2M & \text{if } s \to -\infty \end{cases} \tag{1.18}$$

(see section 3 for the notations). This different asymptotic behavior of the solution to the elliptic equation $G\varphi_0 = 0$ interacts with the factor F in the right side of equation (1.11).

In this way, we are able to handle the domain near the black hole and to show that a suitable modification of the classical Kato's lemma works well.

2. Reformulation of the problem and local existence result.

The D'Alembert operator in the metric (1.1) has the form

$$\Box_g = \frac{1}{F}\partial_t^2 - F\partial_r^2 - \frac{2}{r}\left(1 - \frac{M}{r}\right)\partial_r - \frac{1}{r^2}\Delta_{\mathbf{S}^2}, \quad F = 1 - \frac{2M}{r}. \tag{2.1}$$

Introducing the Regge–Wheeler coordinate

$$s(r) = r + 2M\log(r - 2M), \tag{2.2}$$

we have the relation

$$\frac{r}{r-2M}\, dr = \, ds$$

and the metric (1.1) becomes

$$g = F\left(dt^2 - \, ds^2 - \Lambda(s)^2\, d\omega^2\right), \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$\Lambda(s) = \frac{r(s)}{\sqrt{F(s)}} = \frac{r(s)}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2M}{r(s)}}} \tag{2.4}$$

and $r(s)$ denotes the function inverse to (2.2). Hence the Schwarzschild metric g is conformal to the metric $dt^2 - \tilde{g}$, where $\tilde{g}$ is the following metric

$$\tilde{g} = \, ds^2 + \Lambda(s)^2\, d\omega^2 \tag{2.5}$$

on the cylinder $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{S}^2$.

The Laplace–Beltrami operator associated with the metric $\tilde{g}$ has the form

$$\Delta_{\tilde{g}} = \partial_s^2 + \frac{2}{r}\left(1 - \frac{3M}{r}\right)\partial_s + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2(s)}\Delta_{\mathbf{S}^2}. \tag{2.6}$$

In the sequel, we shall need the following asymptotic estimates for the functions $r(s)$, $F(s)$, $\Lambda(s)$ (which follow trivially from (2.2)).

Lemma 2.1. *There exist positive constants $C_2 > C_1 > 0$ such that the functions*

$$r(s), \qquad F(s) = 1 - \frac{2M}{r(s)}, \qquad \Lambda(s) = \frac{r(s)}{\sqrt{F(s)}}$$

satisfy the estimates

$$\begin{cases} C_1 s \leqslant r(s) \leqslant C_2 s & \text{if } \; s \geqslant 2, \\ C_1 \leqslant r(s) \leqslant C_2 & \text{if } \; |s| \leqslant 2, \\ C_1 \, \mathrm{e}^{s/2M} \leqslant r(s) - 2M \leqslant C_2 \, \mathrm{e}^{s/2M} & \text{if } \; s \leqslant -2; \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

$$\begin{cases} |F(s) - 1| \leqslant C_2/s & \text{if } \; s \geqslant 2, \\ C_1 \leqslant F(s) \leqslant C_2 & \text{if } \; |s| \leqslant 2, \\ C_1 \, \mathrm{e}^{s/2M} \leqslant F(s) \leqslant C_2 \, \mathrm{e}^{s/2M} & \text{if } \; s \leqslant -2; \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

$$\begin{cases} C_1 s \leqslant \Lambda(s) \leqslant C_2 s & \text{if } \; s \geqslant 2, \\ C_1 \leqslant \Lambda(s) \leqslant C_2 & \text{if } \; |s| \leqslant 2, \\ C_1 \, \mathrm{e}^{-s/4M} \leqslant \Lambda(s) \leqslant C_2 \, \mathrm{e}^{-s/4M} & \text{if } \; s \leqslant -2. \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

Further, we have the relations $F\partial_r = \partial_s$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_s^2 = F\partial_r(F\partial_r) &= \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) \partial_r \left(\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) \partial_r \right) \\ &= \frac{2M}{r^2} \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) \partial_r + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^2 \partial_r^2, \end{aligned}$$

and this implies

$$F^2 \partial_r^2 = \partial_s^2 - \frac{2M}{r(s)^2} \partial_s. \tag{2.10}$$

In this way, from (2.1) we obtain the relation

$$F\Box_g = \partial_t^2 - \partial_s^2 - \frac{2F}{r(s)} \partial_s - \frac{F}{r(s)^2} \Delta_{\mathbf{S}^2} = \partial_t^2 - \Delta_{\tilde{g}} - \frac{2M}{r^2} \partial_s \tag{2.11}$$

and the problem (1.2) takes the form (1.9), i.e.

$$\partial_t^2 u - \partial_s^2 u - \frac{2F}{r(s)} \partial_s u - \frac{F}{r(s)^2} \Delta_{\mathbf{S}^2} u = F|u|^p. \tag{2.12}$$

Now, we make the change

$$u = wv, \tag{2.13}$$

where

$$w = w(s)$$

will be chosen appropriately. We have the relations

$$\partial_s(wv) = v\partial_s w + w\partial_s v,$$

$$\partial_s^2(wv) = v\partial_s^2 w + 2\partial_s v \partial_s w + w\partial_s^2 v,$$

and from (2.12) we obtain the equation

$$\begin{aligned} & w\partial_t^2 v - w\partial_s^2 v - 2\left(\partial_s w + \frac{F}{r(s)}w\right)\partial_s v \\ & \qquad - \left(\partial_s^2 w + \frac{2F}{r(s)}\partial_s w\right)v - \frac{F}{r(s)^2}w\Delta_{\mathbf{S}^2}v \\ & \qquad = F|w|^p|v|^p. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

If we want to cancel the coefficient

$$\partial_s w + \frac{F}{r(s)}w,$$

then a simple computation shows that we have to take

$$w(s) = \frac{1}{r(s)}; \tag{2.15}$$

moreover, with this choice, we have

$$\partial_s^2 w = \frac{2F}{r(s)^2}\left(1 - \frac{3M}{r(s)}\right)w.$$

These calculations enable us to rewrite (2.14) as follows:

$$\partial_t^2 v - \partial_s^2 v + \frac{2MF}{r^3}v - \frac{F}{r^2}\Delta_{\mathbf{S}^2}v = F|w|^{p-1}|v|^p. \tag{2.16}$$

At this point, with no loss of generality, we can restrict our attention to the class of solutions of the form $v = v(t,s)$. Then equation (2.16) can be simplified further to the following one:

$$\partial_t^2 v + Gv = Fr^{1-p}|v|^p, \tag{2.17}$$

where

$$G = -\partial_s^2 + \frac{2MF}{r^3}; \tag{2.18}$$

therefore, if we set $f = f(s) = F(s)r(s)^{1-p}$, theorem 1.2 is equivalent to the following.

Theorem 2.1. *Let consider the Cauchy problem*

$$\begin{cases} v_{tt} + Gv = f|v|^p & \text{in } [0,T[\times\mathbf{R}, \\ v(0,s) = v_0(s), \quad v_t(0,s) = v_1(s) & \text{in } \mathbf{R}, \end{cases} \tag{2.19}$$

with initial data $(v_0, v_1) \in \mathrm{H}^2(\mathbf{R}) \times \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbf{R})$ *such that*

$$\begin{cases} v_0(s),\ v_1(s) \geqslant 0 & \text{almost everywhere}, \\ v_0(s) = v_1(s) = 0 & \text{for } |s - s_0| > R, \\ \int_{\mathbf{R}} v_j(s)\,ds \geqslant \varepsilon & j = 0,1 \end{cases} \tag{2.20}$$

for a positive constant R *and* $s_0 = s_0(\varepsilon, p) \in \mathbf{R}$ *so that, for* $p \neq 2$, *we have*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \varepsilon^A |s_0(\varepsilon,p)| = 0 \qquad \forall A > 0$$

and

$$\begin{cases} s_0 > 0 & \text{for } p \in]1,2[, \\ s_0 < 0 & \text{for } p \in]2, 1+\sqrt{2}[. \end{cases}$$

Then, for each $p \in]1, 1+\sqrt{2}[$, *there exists a positive number* $T < \infty$ *and a solution*

$$v \in \cap_{k=0}^{2} \mathcal{C}^k([0,T[; \mathrm{H}^{2-k}(\mathbf{R}))$$

of (2.19) *such that*

$$\lim_{t \nearrow T} \left(\|v(t)\|_{\mathrm{H}^2(\mathbf{R})} + \|\partial_t v(t)\|_{\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbf{R})} + \|\partial_t^2 v(t)\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbf{R})} \right) = \infty.$$

More precisely, we shall show that

$$\lim_{t \nearrow T} \|v(t)\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbf{R})} = \infty$$

(see section 3).

It is not difficult to see that G is a non–negative symmetric operator in the Hilbert space $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbf{R}, ds)$ with dense domain $\mathrm{H}^2(\mathbf{R})$. Thus the estimates of lemma 2.1, together with the KLMN–theorem (see theorem 10.17 in [24]), imply that G is a non–negative self–adjoint operator.

Indeed, one can consider the quadratic form

$$B(h,h) = (\partial_s h, \partial_s h)_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbf{R},ds)} + \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{2MF}{r^3} |h(s)|^2 \, ds. \tag{2.21}$$

To apply the KLMN–theorem, it is sufficient to verify the estimate

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} V(s)|h(s)|^2 \, ds \leqslant a \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\partial_s h(s)|^2 \, ds + b\|h\|^2_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbf{R},ds)} \tag{2.22}$$

with $0 \leq a < 1$,

$$V(s) = \frac{2MF(s)}{r(s)^3}$$

and $h \in \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbf{R})$. This estimate follows from lemma 2.1 with $a = 0$.

Let consider the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 v + Gv = \Phi, \\ v(0,s) = v_0(s), \quad \partial_t v(0,s) = v_1(s); \end{cases} \tag{2.23}$$

then the solution can be represented in the form

$$v(t) = \cos(t\sqrt{G})v_0 + \frac{\sin(t\sqrt{G})}{\sqrt{G}} v_1 + \int_0^t \frac{\sin((t-\tau)\sqrt{G})}{\sqrt{G}} \Phi(\tau) d\tau.$$

From this representation, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(t)\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbf{R},ds)} &\leqslant \|v_0\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbf{R},ds)} + t\|v_1\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbf{R},ds)} \\ &\quad + \int_0^t |t-\tau| \|\Phi(\tau)\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbf{R},ds)} \, d\tau \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

and for any $\sigma \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|G^{\sigma/2} v(t)\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbf{R},ds)} &\leqslant \|G^{\sigma/2} v_0\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbf{R},ds)} + \|G^{(\sigma-1)/2} v_1\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbf{R},ds)} \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \|G^{(\sigma-1)/2} \Phi(\tau)\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbf{R},ds)} \, d\tau; \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

thus, using the equivalence

$$\|G^{\sigma/2} h\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbf{R},ds)} + \|h\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbf{R},ds)} \sim \|h\|_{\mathrm{H}^\sigma(\mathbf{R})},$$

we arrive at the energy estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\|v(t)\|_{\mathrm{H}^\sigma(\mathbf{R})} \leqslant C\|v_0\|_{\mathrm{H}^\sigma(\mathbf{R})} + C(1+t)\|v_1\|_{\mathrm{H}^{\sigma-1}(\mathbf{R})} \\ + C\int_0^t (1+|t-\tau|)\|\Phi(\tau)\|_{\mathrm{H}^{\sigma-1}(\mathbf{R})}\,d\tau\end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

for any $\sigma \geqslant 1$ and a suitable constant $C > 0$. From this energy estimate and the Sobolev embedding $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbf{R}) \subset \mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbf{R})$, we easily obtain the desired local existence result (theorem 1.1).

3. Blow up with small initial data for $p \in]2, 1+\sqrt{2}[$.

We shall suppose

$$2 < p < 1+\sqrt{2} \tag{3.1}$$

and consider the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} v_{tt} + Gv = f|v|^p & \text{in } [0,T[\times\mathbf{R}, \\ v(0,s) = v_0(s), \quad v_t(0,s) = v_1(s) & \text{in } \mathbf{R}, \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

with initial data $(v_0, v_1) \in \mathrm{H}^2(\mathbf{R}) \times \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbf{R})$ such that

$$\begin{cases} v_0(s),\ v_1(s) \geqslant 0 & \text{almost everywhere}, \\ v_0(s) = v_1(s) = 0 & \text{for } |s-s_0| > R, \\ \int_{\mathbf{R}} v_j(s)\,ds \geqslant \varepsilon & j = 0, 1 \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

for a positive constant ε, $R > 0$ and $s_0 \in \mathbf{R}$. We shall choose suitably $s_0 = s_0(\varepsilon) < 0$ in accordance with lemma 3.2.

Theorem 3.1. *If $p \in]2, 1+\sqrt{2}[$ and the initial data $(v_0, v_1) \in \mathrm{H}^2(\mathbf{R}) \times \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbf{R})$ satisfy the assumptions* (3.3) *with*

$$s_0 = s_0(\varepsilon) < 0 \tag{3.4}$$

such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \varepsilon^A |s_0(\varepsilon)| = \infty \qquad \forall A > 0, \tag{3.5}$$

then there exists a positive number $T < \infty$ and a solution

$$v \in \cap_{k=0}^2 \mathcal{C}^k([0,T[; \mathrm{H}^{2-k}(\mathbf{R}))$$

of (2.19) *such that*

$$\lim_{t \nearrow T} \left(\|v(t)\|_{\mathrm{H}^2(\mathbf{R})} + \|\partial_t v(t)\|_{\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbf{R})} + \|\partial_t^2 v(t)\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbf{R})}\right) = \infty.$$

As we shall prove in the next section (lemmas 5.2 and 5.3), there exist two positive functions φ_0 and φ_1 belonging to $\mathcal{C}^2(\mathbf{R})$ with the following properties:

$$G\varphi_0 = 0, \qquad \begin{cases} \varphi_0(s) \sim s & \text{for } s \to \infty, \\ \varphi_0(s) - D \sim \mathrm{e}^{s/2M} & \text{for } s \to -\infty; \end{cases}$$

$$(G + 1/4M^2)\varphi_1 = 0, \qquad \varphi_1(s) \sim \mathrm{e}^{s/2M} \quad \text{if } |s| \to \infty,$$

where D is a positive constant.

Here and below, we shall use the notation

$$f(s) \sim g(s) \qquad \text{if } s \to \pm\infty,$$

where $f(s)$, $g(s)$ are given functions, if there exist two positive constants $C > 1$ and $N > 0$ such that $g(s) \neq 0$ for $\pm s \geqslant N$ and

$$C^{-1} < \frac{f(s)}{g(s)} < C$$

for $\pm s \geqslant N$.

Similarly,

$$f(s) \sim g(s) \qquad \text{if } |s| \to \infty$$

means

$$f(s) \sim g(s) \qquad \text{if } s \to +\infty$$

and

$$f(s) \sim g(s) \qquad \text{if } s \to -\infty.$$

On the other hand, the notation

$$f(s) \lesssim g(s),$$

where $f(s)$, $g(s)$ are given functions, means that there exists a positive constant C such that

$$f(s) \leqslant Cg(s)$$

for all s.

Let

$$v \in \cap_{k=0}^{2} \mathcal{C}^k([0,T[; \mathrm{H}^{2-k}(\mathbf{R}))$$

be a solution of (2.19); we set (see [13], [14])

$$F_0(t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} v(t,s)\psi_0(s)\,ds, \quad F_1(t) = \mathrm{e}^{-t/2M} \int_{\mathbf{R}} v(t,s)\varphi_1(s)\,ds, \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$\psi_0 = \varphi_0 - D > 0. \tag{3.7}$$

We have the following relations:

$$\partial_s^2 \psi_0 - \frac{2MF}{r^3}\psi_0 = D\frac{2MF}{r^3}, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\psi_0(s) \sim \begin{cases} s & \text{for } s \to \infty, \\ \mathrm{e}^{s/2M} & \text{for } s \to -\infty. \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

Note that the above functions F_0, F_1 are well–defined (thanks to the assumptions on the initial data) and $F_0 \in \mathcal{C}^2$ due to the assumption

$$v \in \cap_{k=0}^{2} C^k([0,T[; \mathrm{H}^{2-k}(\mathbf{R})).$$

Applying the technical lemma 5.4 from the appendix (section 4), we find $F_1(t) \gtrsim \varepsilon$.

We shall use in the sequel the following classical Kato's lemma (see [18]).

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that* $p > 1$, $a \geqslant 1$, *and* $(p-1)a > q - 2$. *If* $V \in \mathcal{C}^2([0,T[)$ *satisfies*

$$V(t) \gtrsim (t+R)^a, \tag{3.10}$$

$$V''(t) \gtrsim (t+R)^{-q} V(t)^p \tag{3.11}$$

for a positive constant R, *then* $T < \infty$.

We shall need the following variant of this lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that* $p > 1$, $a \geqslant 1$, $\varepsilon \in]0,1[$ *and*

$$(p-1)a > q-2. \tag{3.12}$$

We assume that $V(t) \in \mathcal{C}^2([0,T[)$ *and* $U(t) \in \mathcal{C}([0,T[)$ *are non–negative functions that satisfy the following estimates*

$$U(t)^p \gtrsim (t+R)^{-q} V(t)^p + \varepsilon^p (t+R)^{a-2}, \tag{3.13}$$

$$V(0) \gtrsim \varepsilon, \qquad V'(0) \gtrsim \varepsilon, \tag{3.14}$$

$$V''(t) \gtrsim U(t)^p - CU(t) \tag{3.15}$$

for $t \in]0,T[$. *Suppose further that there exists a positive number* $\delta \in]0,1[$ *and there exists* $T_1 = T_1(\varepsilon) < T$ *such that for any positive* $A > 0$ *we have*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^A T_1(\varepsilon) = \infty \tag{3.16}$$

and

$$V''(t) \gtrsim U(t)^p - \mathrm{e}^{-\delta T_1} U(t) \qquad \text{for } t \in [0, T_1[; \tag{3.17}$$

then, for any integer $N \geqslant 1$, *we have*

$$V(t) \gtrsim (t+R)^N \qquad \text{for } t \in]T_1/2, T_1[, \tag{3.18}$$

and $T < \infty$.

Proof. Assume that $T = \infty$. Our goal is to arrive at a contradiction. Consider the function

$$K(x) = x^p - Cx, \tag{3.19}$$

where $C > 0$ is the constant from (3.15). For

$$x > x_0 \doteq 2C^{1/(p-1)}, \tag{3.20}$$

we have

$$K(x) \gtrsim x^p. \tag{3.21}$$

In a similar way, given $T_1 > 0$, we can consider the function

$$K_{T_1}(x) = x^p - \mathrm{e}^{-\delta T_1} x, \tag{3.22}$$

where $\delta > 0$ is the constant from (3.17). For

$$x > x_0(T_1) \doteq 2\,\mathrm{e}^{-\delta T_1/(p-1)}, \tag{3.23}$$

we have

$$K_{T_1}(x) \gtrsim x^p. \tag{3.24}$$

Note that inequality (3.13) assures that

$$U(t) \gtrsim \varepsilon (t+R)^{(a-2)/p} \gtrsim \varepsilon (T_1+R)^{(a-2)/p} \tag{3.25}$$

for $t \in [0, T_1]$ if $a \leqslant 2$, and

$$U(t) \gtrsim \varepsilon (t+R)^{(a-2)/p} \gtrsim \varepsilon R^{(a-2)/p} \tag{3.26}$$

for $t \in [0, T_1]$ if $a > 2$. Now it is clear that choosing $T_1 = T_1(\varepsilon) > 0$ so that (3.16) is satisfied, we can guarantee the following analogue of inequality (3.23):

$$U(t) > x_0(T_1) \doteq 2\,\mathrm{e}^{-\delta T_1/(p-1)} \qquad \text{for } t \in [0, T_1]. \tag{3.27}$$

Indeed, the lower bound of $U(t)$ is at most polynomially decaying (in T_1) due to (3.25) and (3.26), while $x_0(T_1)$ decays exponentially.

Since (3.23) implies (3.24), we conclude that

$$V''(t) \gtrsim U(t)^p \geqslant \varepsilon^p (t+R)^{a-2} \qquad \text{for } t \in [0, T_1[,$$

and integrating this inequality twice, we obtain

$$V(t) \gtrsim \varepsilon^p (t+R)^a, \quad V'(t) \gtrsim \varepsilon^p (t+R)^{a-1} \quad \text{for } t \in]T_1/2, T_1[. \tag{3.28}$$

Now we apply (3.13) and find

$$U(t)^p \gtrsim (t+R)^{ap-q} \varepsilon^{p^2}. \tag{3.29}$$

Setting

$$a_1 = ap - q + 2, \qquad p_1 = p^2, \tag{3.30}$$

we get the following analogue of (3.13):

$$U(t)^p \gtrsim (t+R)^{-q} V(t)^p + \varepsilon^{p_1} (t+R)^{a_1 - 2}.$$

Note that the assumption $a(p-1) > q-2$ implies

$$a_1 > a. \tag{3.31}$$

Repeating this argument, we define the following recurrence sequence:

$$a_0 = a, \qquad a_{k+1} = pa_k - q + 2, \qquad p_{k+1} = p_k^2 \tag{3.32}$$

for any $k \geqslant 0$, and obtain

$$\begin{cases} U(t)^p \gtrsim (t+R)^{-q} V(t)^p + \varepsilon^{p_{k+1}} (t+R)^{a_{k+1}-2}, \\ V(t) \gtrsim \varepsilon^p (t+R)^{a_{k+1}}. \end{cases} \tag{3.33}$$

It is not difficult to see that a_k tends to infinity. In fact, (3.32) implies

$$a_{k+1} - a_k = p(a_k - a_{k-1}) \geqslant \cdots \geqslant p^k (a_1 - a_0); \tag{3.34}$$

so, from (3.31) and the assumption $p > 1$, we see that

$$a_k \longrightarrow \infty.$$

This proves (3.18). We can choose in particular $k \geqslant 1$ so that $a_{k+1} > 2$ and fix this k. Then estimate (3.33) implies

$$U(T_1) > 2x_0, \tag{3.35}$$

where x_0 is the constant chosen in (3.20). Once this inequality is satisfied, we can use (3.13), (3.15) and (3.35), and see that

$$U(t)^p \gtrsim (t+R)^{-q} V(t)^p + \varepsilon^p (t+R)^{a-2}, \tag{3.36}$$

$$U(T_1) > 2x_0, \quad V(T_1) > 2x_0, \quad V'(T_1) \geqslant 0, \tag{3.37}$$

$$V''(t) \gtrsim U(t)^p - CU(t) \tag{3.38}$$

for $t \in [T_1, 2T_1]$. Let

$$T_2 = \sup\{T > T_1 \; ; \; U(t) > x_0, \; t \in [T_1, T[\}.$$

One can see that $T_2 \geqslant 2T_1$. Indeed, for $t \in [T_1, T_2[$, we have

$$V''(t) \gtrsim U(t)^p;$$

hence, using inequality (3.36) and integrating twice in t, we conclude that (3.33) are fulfilled for $t \in [T_1, T_2[$. Thus, at $t = T_2$, we have

$$U(T_2) > 2x_0$$

and this inequality, combined with the definition of T_2, guarantees that $T_2 \geqslant 2T_1$.

Since (3.33) are fulfilled for $t \in [T_1, 2T_1]$, we are ready to obtain the desired contradiction. To see this, it is sufficient to note that the ordinary differential equation

$$v''(t) \geqslant v^r,$$

with initial data

$$v(T_1) \geqslant 1, \qquad v'(T_1) \geqslant 0$$

and $1 < r < p$, has a finite lifespan.

This completes the proof. ■

We want to apply this lemma with $V = F_0$,

$$U = \left(\int f|v|^p \psi_0 \, ds \right)^{1/p},$$

p and R as stated in theorem 3.1, $q = 3(p-1)$ and with $a = 4 - p - \varepsilon_0$ for each $\varepsilon_0 > 0$. Note that this choice guarantees that the inequality

$$(p-1)a - q + 2 > 0$$

is equivalent to

$$p^2 - (2 - \varepsilon_0)p - (1 + \varepsilon_0) > 0$$

and this, for $p > 1$, means that

$$p < 1 + \sqrt{2 + \frac{\varepsilon_0^2}{4}} - \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2};$$

hence, choosing ε_0 arbitrarily small, we get our result for every

$$p \in]2, 1 + \sqrt{2}[.$$

First of all, we observe that the conditions in (3.14) are trivially satisfied. Then, in order to prove estimate (3.15), we multiply equation (2.19) by ψ_0, integrate on $\mathbf{R}$ and then integrate by parts:

$$\begin{aligned} F_0''(t) &= \int_{\mathbf{R}} v_{tt}(t,s)\psi_0(s) \, ds \\ &= \int \left(v_{ss} - \frac{2MF}{r(s)^3} v \right) \psi_0 \, ds + \int f|v|^p \psi_0 \, ds \\ &= \int v \left(\psi_0'' - \frac{2MF}{r(s)^3} \psi_0 \right) ds + \int f|v|^p \psi_0 \, ds; \end{aligned}$$

from (3.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_0''(t) &= \int f|v|^p \psi_0 \, ds + D \int v W(s) \, ds \\ &= U(t)^p + D \int v W(s) \, ds, \end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

where

$$W(s) = \frac{2MF}{r(s)^3}.$$

Now let note that as r goes from 1 to ∞, s varies in the whole real line. Moreover, $s(r)$ is strictly increasing, thus the same holds for $r = r(s)$ and from (2.7) we have

$$r = r(s) \sim \begin{cases} s & \text{if } s \to \infty, \\ 2M + \mathrm{e}^{s/(2M)} & \text{if } s \to -\infty, \end{cases} \tag{3.40}$$

which yields

$$f(s) = \frac{F}{r(s)^{p-1}} \sim \begin{cases} s^{-(p-1)} & \text{if } s \to \infty, \\ \mathrm{e}^{s/(2M)} & \text{if } s \to -\infty, \end{cases} \tag{3.41}$$

$$W(s) = \frac{2MF}{r(s)^3} \sim \begin{cases} s^{-3} & \text{if } s \to \infty, \\ \mathrm{e}^{s/(2M)} & \text{if } s \to -\infty \end{cases} \tag{3.42}$$

and in particular

$$(f\psi_0)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} W^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \lesssim \left\{\begin{matrix} (1+s)^{-\frac{2(p+1)}{p-1}} & \text{for } s \geqslant 0 \\ \mathrm{e}^{\frac{s}{2M}\frac{p-2}{p-1}} & \text{for } s < 0 \end{matrix}\right\} \lesssim (1+|s|)^{-2}; \tag{3.43}$$

so, from the Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int vW &\geqslant -\int |v|W \\ &= -\int \left(f^{\frac{1}{p}}|v|\psi_0^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)\left(f^{-\frac{1}{p}} W \psi_0^{-\frac{1}{p}}\right) \\ &\geqslant -U(t)\left(\int (f\psi_0)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} W^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\ &\gtrsim -U(t) \end{aligned}$$

and finally

$$F_0''(t) \geqslant U(t)^p - CU(t)$$

for a suitable positive constant C.

Now we are going to prove estimate (3.13). Let observe that

$$f^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}\psi_0 \lesssim \left\{\begin{matrix} (1+s)^2 & \text{for } s \geqslant 0 \\ \mathrm{e}^{\frac{s}{2M}\frac{p-2}{p-1}} & \text{for } s < 0 \end{matrix}\right\} \lesssim (1+|s|)^2; \tag{3.44}$$

proceeding as before, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(t) &\leqslant \mathrm{e}^{-t/2M}\int_{\mathbf{R}} |v|\varphi_1\, ds \\ &= \mathrm{e}^{-t/2M}\int_{|s-s_0|\leqslant t+R} \left(f^{\frac{1}{p}}|v|\psi_0{}^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)\left(f^{-\frac{1}{p}}\psi_0{}^{-\frac{1}{p}}\varphi_1\right) ds \\ &\leqslant U(t)\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{t}{2M}\frac{p}{p-1}}\int_{|s-s_0|\leqslant t+R} f^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}\psi_0{}^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}\varphi_1{}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\, ds\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

where, setting

$$\Psi = (f\psi_0)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{t}{2M}}\,\varphi_1)^{\frac{p}{p-1}},$$

we have

$$\Psi \lesssim \begin{cases} (1+s)^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}}\,\mathrm{e}^{\frac{s-t}{2M}\frac{p}{p-1}} & \text{for } s \geqslant 0, \\ \mathrm{e}^{\frac{s}{2M}\frac{p-2}{p-1}}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{t}{2M}\frac{p}{p-1}} & \text{for } s < 0. \end{cases}$$

Now we observe that for $s \to -\infty$, Ψ decreases exponentially, while as for as the behaviour at ∞ is concerned, we subdivide the domain of integration into two disjoint parts:

$$\begin{aligned} D_0 &\doteq \{|s-s_0| \leqslant t+R\} \\ &= \Big(D_0 \cap \{s < t - t^{\varepsilon'}\}\Big) \cup \Big(D_0 \cap \{s \geqslant t - t^{\varepsilon'}\}\Big) \\ &= D_1^{\varepsilon'} \cup D_2^{\varepsilon'}; \end{aligned}$$

we consider this partition for every $\varepsilon' > 0$ sufficiently small. If $s \in D_1^{\varepsilon'}$, we have $\mathrm{e}^{\frac{s-t}{2M}\frac{p}{p-1}} \leqslant \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{t^{\varepsilon'}}{2M}\frac{p}{p-1}}$, thus Ψ decreases esponentially as s approaches to ∞. On the other hand, if $s \in D_2^{\varepsilon'}$, we have only $s - t \leqslant s_0 + R$ and so $\Psi \lesssim s^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}}$ is an increasing function on $D_2^{\varepsilon'}$ as $s \to \infty$. So, modulo a positive constant, we can estimate the integral of Ψ on $\{|s-s_0| \leqslant t+R\}$ with its integral on $D_2^{\varepsilon'}$ (we consider t, and hence s, sufficiently large):

$$F_1(t) \lesssim U(t)\bigg(\int_{D_2^{\varepsilon'}} s^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}}\,ds\bigg)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}.$$

But $D_2^{\varepsilon'} \subset [t - t^{\varepsilon'}, t + s_0 + R]$; moreover, for $\beta > \alpha \geqslant 1$ and $\gamma \neq -1$, we have

$$\int_\alpha^\beta s^\gamma\,ds \lesssim (\beta-\alpha)\alpha^\gamma,$$

hence

$$F_1(t) \lesssim U(t)\bigg(\int_{t-t^{\varepsilon'}}^{t+s_0+R} s^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}}\,ds\bigg)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \lesssim U(t)(t+R)^{\varepsilon'\frac{p-1}{p}}(t+R)^{\frac{p-2}{p}}.$$

Remembering that $F_1(t) \gtrsim \varepsilon$, setting $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon'(p-1)$ and taking the power p in both members, we get

$$U(t)^p \gtrsim \varepsilon^p (t+R)^{2-p-\varepsilon_0}; \tag{3.45}$$

this is the first part of estimate (3.13). Let note that argueing as in the proof of lemma 3.2 to obtain (3.28), we get $F_0(t) \gtrsim \varepsilon^p(t+R)^a \geqslant 0$ in $[0, T_1[$ and then in $[0, T[$.

To get the other part of (3.13), we estimate F_0:

$$\begin{aligned} F_0(t) &= \int_{\mathbf{R}} v\psi_0 \, ds \\ &= \int_{|s-s_0|\leqslant t+R} \left(f^{\frac{1}{p}} v \psi_0{}^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \left(f^{-\frac{1}{p}} \psi_0{}^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \right) ds \\ &\leqslant \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} f |v|^p \psi_0 \, ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{|s-s_0|\leqslant t+R} f^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \psi_0 \, ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\ &\lesssim U(t) \left(\int_{|s-s_0|\leqslant t+R} (1+|s|)^2 \, ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\ &\lesssim U(t)(t+R)^{\frac{3(p-1)}{p}}; \end{aligned}$$

taking the power p in both sides gives

$$U(t)^p \gtrsim (t+R)^{-3(p-1)} F_0(t)^p, \tag{3.46}$$

and combining this inequality with (3.45), we finally obtain needed estimate (3.13).

We set $T_1(\varepsilon) = \mathrm{e}^{C_0/\varepsilon}$, $C_0 > 0$, and $s_0(\varepsilon) = -(1+\delta_1)T_1(\varepsilon) - R$, with $\delta_1 \in]0,1[$ such that $\delta_1 < M$; thanks to this choice, relation (3.16) holds, hence it remains to prove estimate (3.17) in $[0, T_1[$.

To begin, let observe that if $t \in [0, T_1[$, $\operatorname{supp} v \subset \{s < 0\}$, since $s \leqslant s_0 + T_1 + R = -\delta_1 T_1$, thus we can consider only the negative part in (3.43) and (3.44).

Recalling what done to get (3.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int vW &\geqslant -U(t) \left(\int_{|s-s_0|\leqslant t+R} (f\psi_0)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} W^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\ &\gtrsim -U(t) \left(\int \mathrm{e}^{\frac{s}{2M}\frac{p-2}{p-1}} \, ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\ &\gtrsim -U(t)\, \mathrm{e}^{\frac{s_0+T_1+R}{2M}\frac{p-2}{p}} = -U(t)\, \mathrm{e}^{-\delta T_1}; \end{aligned}$$

so, if we set

$$\delta \doteq \frac{\delta_1(p-2)}{2Mp} \in]0,1[,$$

from (3.39) we finally deduce

$$F_0''(t) \gtrsim U(t)^p - \mathrm{e}^{-\delta T_1} U(t),$$

which is estimate (3.17). So we can apply lemma 3.2 and get that F_0 blows up in finite time.

Now, considering the asymptotic behaviours of ψ_0, we obtain

$$\psi_0(s) \lesssim \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1+s & \text{if } s \geqslant 0 \\ \mathrm{e}^{\frac{s}{2M}} & \text{if } s < 0 \end{array} \right\} \lesssim 1+|s|$$

and hence, thanks to the Cauchy–Schwartz inequality, we deduce

$$F_0(t) \lesssim \int_{|s-s_0|\leqslant t+R} (1+|s|)|v(t,s)| \, ds \lesssim (t+R)^{3/2} ||v(t)||_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbf{R})}.$$

Thus also v blows up in finite time and this concludes the proof, assuming the statements of the following section.

4. Blow up with small initial data for $p \in]1,2]$

First of all, we consider the case $p \in]1,2[$; we want to apply the classical Kato's lemma 3.1 with $q = 3(p-1)$ and $a = 4 - p - \varepsilon_0$, where $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ sufficiently small. In particular, we assume

$$\varepsilon_0 < 2 - p. \tag{4.1}$$

In this way, the relation $(p-1)a > q - 2$ is true also for $p \in]1,2[$.

We also assume that $s_0 > 0$ is very far from the black hole (in particular (3.5) still holds) and we consider only the region $\mathbf{R}^+ \doteq \{s \in \mathbf{R}^3 \ ; \ s > 0\}$. In this region, estimates (3.15) and (3.45) still hold and thanks to our assumptions, (3.45) implies that definitely $U(t) \geqslant 1$, i.e.

$$F_0''(t) \gtrsim U(t)^p \gtrsim \varepsilon^p (t+R)^{2-p-\varepsilon_0}.$$

Integrating twice, we get

$$F_0(t) \gtrsim \varepsilon^p (t+R)^{4-p-\varepsilon}.$$

But also (3.46) still holds, hence we have

$$F_0''(t) \gtrsim (t+R)^{-3(p-1)} F_0(t)^p,$$

and so we can apply the lemma, provided the solution lives in $\mathbf{R}^+$. But this is true, since

$$U(t) \gtrsim \varepsilon (t+R)^{\frac{2-p-\varepsilon_0}{p}} \geqslant 1$$

if and only if

$$t + R \geqslant \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{p}{2-p-\varepsilon_0}}$$

and the quantity in the right side is polinomial in ε, while condition (3.5) guarantees that $s_0(\varepsilon)$ increases more rapidly than every polinomial as $\varepsilon \to 0$; moreover, also $T(\varepsilon)$ is polinomial in ε, hence

$$s \geqslant s_0(\varepsilon) - t - R \geqslant s_0(\varepsilon) - T(\varepsilon) - R > 0.$$

It remains to consider the case $p = 2$. In this situation, we do not need any hypothesis on $s_0(\varepsilon)$.

5. Appendix

Our first step in this section is to consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\varphi''(s) + H(s)\varphi(s) = 0 & s \in \mathbf{R}, \\ |\varphi(s) - bs| \lesssim \log(2+s) & \text{for } s \geqslant 0, \\ 0 < \varphi(s) \lesssim 1 & \text{for } s < 0, \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

where the potential $H(s)$ is assumed to satisfy

$$0 < H(s) \lesssim (1 + |s|)^{-a} \tag{5.2}$$

for some $a \geqslant 3$. Our first result is the following.

Lemma 5.1. *There exists a real number $b > 0$ such that the problem (5.1) has a positive solution $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbf{R})$ such that the limit*

$$D = \lim_{s \to -\infty} \varphi_0(s)$$

exists, $D > 0$ and the following relation

$$\varphi_0(s) - D \sim |s|^{2-a} \qquad \text{for } s \to -\infty \tag{5.3}$$

holds.

Proof. Consider the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} -y''(s) + H(s)y(s) = 0 & s \in \mathbf{R}, \\ y(0) = 1, \quad y'(0) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{5.4}$$

This Cauchy problem has a unique solution $y(s) \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbf{R})$. A qualitative analysis of the equation and the assumption $H(s) > 0$ show that the solution satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} y'(s) > 0 \qquad & \text{for } s > 0, \\ y'(s) < 0 \qquad & \text{for } s < 0, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$y(s) \geqslant 1$$

for all real s.

One can rewrite problem (5.4) in the form of the following integral equation

$$y(s) = 1 + I(y)(s), \tag{5.5}$$

where

$$I(y)(s) = \int_0^s \int_0^\sigma H(\vartheta)y(\vartheta)\,d\vartheta\,d\sigma = \int_0^s (s-\vartheta)H(\vartheta)y(\vartheta)\,d\vartheta. \tag{5.6}$$

We shall show that

$$|y(s) - d_+ s| \lesssim \log(2+s) \qquad \text{for } s \geqslant 0, \tag{5.7}$$

$$|y(s) - d_- s| \lesssim \log(2+|s|) \qquad \text{for } s < 0, \tag{5.8}$$

where

$$d_\pm = \int_0^{\pm\infty} H(\vartheta)y(\vartheta)\,d\vartheta.$$

The assumption (5.2) shows that the integral operator $I(y)(s)$ is well–defined and satisfies the estimate

$$0 \leqslant I(y)(s) \leqslant s \int_0^s H(\vartheta)y(\vartheta)\,d\vartheta; \tag{5.9}$$

hence (5.5) implies the inequality

$$y(s) \leqslant 1 + s \int_0^s H(\vartheta)y(\vartheta)\,d\vartheta. \tag{5.10}$$

Now, let consider the case $s \geqslant 0$. The previous inequality yields

$$y(s) \leqslant 1 + s \int_0^\infty H(\vartheta)y(\vartheta)\,d\vartheta = 1 + d_+ s. \tag{5.11}$$

On the other hand, combining (5.5) and (5.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} y(s) - 1 - d_+ s &= s\int_0^\infty H(\vartheta)y(\vartheta)\,d\vartheta - s\int_s^\infty H(\vartheta)y(\vartheta)\,d\vartheta \\ &\quad - \int_0^s \vartheta H(\vartheta)y(\vartheta)\,d\vartheta - d_+ s \\ &= -s\int_s^\infty H(\vartheta)y(\vartheta)\,d\vartheta - \int_0^s \vartheta H(\vartheta)y(\vartheta)\,d\vartheta \\ &\gtrsim -s\int_s^\infty \frac{d\vartheta}{(1+\vartheta)^{a-1}} - \int_0^s \frac{d\vartheta}{(1+\vartheta)^{a-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

But, for each $a \geqslant 3$, we have also

$$\int_s^\infty \frac{d\vartheta}{(1+\vartheta)^{a-1}} \lesssim (1+s)^{2-a},$$

$$\int_0^s \frac{d\vartheta}{(1+\vartheta)^{a-2}} \lesssim \log(2+s),$$

and thus we deduce

$$y(s) - 1 - d_+ s \gtrsim -\log(2+s).$$

From this equation and (5.11), we finally obtain the precise asymptotic estimate (5.7). Analogously, we get the parallel result for $s < 0$. It is important to note that $d_+ > 0$ and

$$d_- = -\int_{-\infty}^0 H(\vartheta)y(\vartheta)\,d\vartheta < 0.$$

In a similar way, we can consider the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} -z''(s) + H(s)z(s) = 0 \qquad s \in \mathbf{R}, \\ z(0) = 0, \quad z'(0) = 1. \end{cases} \tag{5.12}$$

Obviously, this Cauchy problem has a unique solution $z(s) \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbf{R})$. The assumption $H(s) > 0$ guarantees that the solution satisfies

$$z'(s) > 0 \qquad \forall s \in \mathbf{R},$$

so

$$z(s) > 0 \qquad \text{for } s > 0$$

and

$$z(s) < 0 \qquad \text{for } s < 0.$$

The integral equation (5.5) has to be replaced by

$$z(s) = s + I(z)(s) \tag{5.13}$$

and the argument given in the proof of estimates (5.7) and (5.8) leads to

$$|z(s) - e_+ s| \lesssim \log(2+s) \qquad \text{for } s \geqslant 0, \tag{5.14}$$

$$|z(s) - e_- s| \lesssim \log(2+|s|) \qquad \text{for } s < 0, \tag{5.15}$$

(5.16)

where

$$e_\pm = 1 + \int_0^{\pm\infty} H(\vartheta)z(\vartheta)\,d\vartheta.$$

Note that $e_+ > 0$ and

$$e_- = 1 - \int_{-\infty}^{0} H(\vartheta) z(\vartheta)\, d\vartheta > 0.$$

Setting

$$\varphi(s) = e_- y(s) - d_- z(s), \qquad b = e_- d_+ - d_- e_+ > 0,$$

we take advantage of (5.8) and (5.15), and conclude that

$$|\varphi(s)| \lesssim \log(2 + |s|) \qquad \text{for } s < 0, \tag{5.17}$$

while from (5.7) and (5.14), we deduce

$$|\varphi(s) - bs| \lesssim \log(2 + s) \qquad \text{for } s > 0. \tag{5.18}$$

To improve estimate (5.17), we note that $\varphi(s)$ satisfies the integral equation

$$\varphi(s) = \varphi(0) + s\varphi'(0) + I(\varphi)(s). \tag{5.19}$$

As before, we have (for any $s < 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(s) - \varphi(0) - \varphi'(0)s = -s \int_{-\infty}^{0} H(\vartheta)\varphi(\vartheta)\, d\vartheta + s \int_{-\infty}^{s} H(\vartheta)\varphi(\vartheta)\, d\vartheta \\ + \int_{-\infty}^{0} \vartheta H(\vartheta)\varphi(\vartheta)\, d\vartheta - \int_{-\infty}^{s} \vartheta H(\vartheta)\varphi(\vartheta)\, d\vartheta \end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

and then a combination between (5.17) and the assumption (5.2) implies

$$s \int_{-\infty}^{s} H(\vartheta)|\varphi(\vartheta)|\, d\vartheta \lesssim (1 + |s|)^{2-a} \log(2 + |s|) \lesssim 1, \tag{5.21}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{s} \vartheta H(\vartheta)|\varphi(\vartheta)|\, d\vartheta \lesssim (1 + |s|)^{2-a} \log(2 + |s|) \lesssim 1, \tag{5.22}$$

so (5.20) yields

$$\left| \varphi(s) - \left(\varphi'(0) - \int_{-\infty}^{0} H(\vartheta)\varphi(\vartheta)\, d\vartheta \right) s \right| \lesssim 1.$$

Comparing this estimate with (5.17), we see that

$$\varphi'(0) - \int_{-\infty}^{0} H(\vartheta)\varphi(\vartheta)\, d\vartheta = 0;$$

so, setting

$$D = \varphi(0) + \int_{-\infty}^{0} \vartheta H(\vartheta)\varphi(\vartheta)\, d\vartheta, \tag{5.23}$$

we use (5.20), (5.21), (5.22) and we arrive at

$$|\varphi(s)| \lesssim 1 \qquad \text{for } s < 0 \tag{5.24}$$

and (5.3).

The function $\varphi(s)$ is obviously positive near $s = 0$. Moreover, for $s > 0$, $\varphi(s)$ increases and is positive. It is easy to show that $\varphi(s) \geqslant 0$ for all $s < 0$.

Indeed, if $\varphi(s_0) < 0$ for some $s_0 < 0$, then $\varphi(s_1) < 0$, $\varphi'(s_1) > 0$ for some $s_1 < 0$, thus the equation

$$\varphi''(s) = H(s)\varphi(s)$$

implies that

$$\varphi(s) < 0, \qquad \varphi'(s) > 0, \qquad \varphi''(s) < 0 \qquad \text{for } s < s_1.$$

This contradicts (5.24) and shows that $\varphi(s) \geqslant 0$ for all $s < 0$.

The positiveness of D follows from the fact that $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(s) \geqslant 0$ for all $s \in \mathbf{R}$ and (5.23).

To complete the proof, let observe that we have indeed $\varphi \geqslant D$ (thus $\varphi \sim 1$ as $s \to -\infty$ and $\varphi > 0$ in $\mathbf{R}$), since

$$\varphi(s) - D = \int_{-\infty}^{s} (s-\vartheta)H(\vartheta)\varphi(\vartheta)\, d\vartheta \geqslant 0;$$

from this relation and (5.2), we also get

$$\varphi(s) - D \sim \int_{-\infty}^{s} \frac{s-\vartheta}{(1+|\vartheta|)^a}\, d\vartheta \sim |s|^{2-a} \qquad \text{for } s \to -\infty$$

and this prove (5.3). ■

Lemma 5.2. *There exists a positive function* $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbf{R})$ *such that* $G\varphi_0 = 0$ *in* $\mathbf{R}$ *and for some positive constants* b *and* D *we have*

$$\begin{cases} |\varphi_0(s) - bs| \lesssim \log(2+|s|) & \text{for } s \geqslant 0, \\ \varphi_0(s) - D \sim \mathrm{e}^{s/2M} & \text{for } s \to -\infty. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let φ satisfy

$$\varphi''(s) - \frac{2MF}{r(s)^3}\varphi(s) = 0.$$

Using the asymptotic estimates (2.7), (2.8) of lemma 2.1, we find

$$\frac{F}{r^3} \sim \begin{cases} s^{-3} & \text{if } s \to \infty, \\ \mathrm{e}^{s/(2M)} & \text{if } s \to -\infty \end{cases} \tag{5.25}$$

and the claim follows from the argument of the proof of the previous lemma (with $a = 3$). The main modification concerns relation (5.21). In fact the asymptotic expansion (5.25) for $s < 0$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(s) - D &= \int_{-\infty}^{s} (s-\vartheta)H(\vartheta)\varphi(\vartheta)\, d\vartheta \\ &\sim \int_{-\infty}^{s} (s-\vartheta)\, \mathrm{e}^{\vartheta/2M}\, d\vartheta = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{s/(2M)}}{4M^2} \end{aligned} \tag{5.26}$$

as $s \to -\infty$, and this leads to

$$\varphi_0(s) - D \sim \mathrm{e}^{s/2M} \qquad \text{for } s \to -\infty.$$

The rest of the claim follows directly from the assertion of the previous lemma. ■

Now we state a corollary of the Levinson's theorem (see [7], page 49, chapter 2 §5.4), which we shall apply to get the estimate for φ_1.

Proposition 5.1. *Consider the equation*

$$y^{(n)} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k(s) y^{(n-k)} = 0, \qquad s \in \mathbf{R}^+, \tag{5.27}$$

where $\alpha_k(s) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^+)$ *are complex–valued functions such that*

$$\alpha_k(s) = \beta_k + \gamma_k(s), \qquad \int_{\mathbf{R}^+} |\gamma_k(s)|\, ds < \infty,$$

and let $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n$ *be the distinct roots of the equation*

$$q^n + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \beta_k q^{n-k} = 0.$$

Then equation (5.27) has n *linearly independent solutions*

$$y_j(s), \qquad j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

having the asymptotic expansion

$$y_j^{(k-1)}(s) = q_j^{k-1}\, \mathrm{e}^{q_j s}[1 + o(1)] \qquad \text{as } s \to \infty,$$

where $j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Lemma 5.3. *Given any* $A > 0$, *the equation*

$$(G + A^2)\varphi(s) = 0, \qquad s \in \mathbf{R} \tag{5.28}$$

admits a positive solution $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbf{R})$ *such that* $\varphi_1(s) \sim \mathrm{e}^{As}$ *as* $|s|$ *approaches* ∞.

Proof. According to proposition 5.1 and relation (5.25), there exists a solution φ_1 of (5.28) such that $\varphi_1(s) \sim \mathrm{e}^{As}$ as $s \to -\infty$. From

$$\varphi_1'' = \left(\frac{2MF}{r^3} + A^2\right)\varphi_1, \qquad \frac{2MF}{r^3} + A^2 > 0$$

and a qualitative study, we get

$$\varphi_1''(s) > 0 \qquad \text{and thus } \varphi_1(s) > 0,\ \varphi_1'(s) > 0 \tag{5.29}$$

for each $s \in \mathbf{R}$. Now, from Proposition 5.1 for $s \to +\infty$, we deduce $\varphi_1(s) \sim \lambda\, \mathrm{e}^{A}\, s + \mu\, \mathrm{e}^{-As}$ for suitable λ, $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$ and $s \to +\infty$. The property (5.29) guarantees that $\lambda > 0$, so it is necessarily $\varphi_1(s) \sim \mathrm{e}^{As}$ for $s \to +\infty$ and the proof is finished. ■

Lemma 5.4. *Let* F_1 *be defined as in (3.6). Then* $F_1(t) \gtrsim \varepsilon$ *holds for all* $t \geqslant 0$.

Proof. We multiply the equation (2.19) by $\psi(t, s) \doteq \mathrm{e}^{-t/2M}\, \varphi_1(s)$ and integrate over $\mathbf{R}$ in s and over $[0, \tau]$ in t:

$$\int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left(v_{tt} - v_{ss} + \frac{2MF}{r(s)^3} v\right) \psi\, ds\, dt = \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbf{R}} f |v|^p \psi\, ds\, dt.$$

Note that the initial data in (2.19) are compactly supported due to (2.20) and a finite dependence domain argument for the equation (2.19) implies that $v(t, s)$ has compact support in s for t bounded. Further, we have the following regularity assumption

$$v \in \cap_{k=0}^{2} \mathcal{C}^k([0, T[; \mathrm{H}^{2-k}(\mathbf{R}))$$

for the solution of (2.19), so we can apply an integration by parts argument and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}-\int_0^\tau\int_{\mathbf{R}} v\left(\psi_{tt}-\psi_{ss}+\frac{2MF}{r(s)^3}\psi\right)ds\,dt+\int_0^\tau\int_{\mathbf{R}} f|v|^p\psi\,ds\,dt\\ =\int_{\mathbf{R}}(v_t\psi-v\psi_t)\,ds\Big|_{t=\tau}-\int_{\mathbf{R}}(v_t\psi-v\psi_t)\,ds\Big|_{t=0}.\end{aligned}$$

The right side of this equality can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbf{R}}(v_t\psi-v\psi_t)\,ds\Big|_{t=\tau}-\int_{\mathbf{R}}(v_t\psi-v\psi_t)\,ds\Big|_{t=0}\\ &\quad=\int_{\mathbf{R}}(v_t\psi+v\psi_t)\,ds\Big|_{t=\tau}-2\int_{\mathbf{R}}v\psi_t\,ds\Big|_{t=\tau}-\int_{\mathbf{R}}\mathrm{e}^{-t}(v_t\varphi_1+v\varphi_1)\,ds\Big|_{t=0}\\ &\quad=\frac{d}{d\tau}\int_{\mathbf{R}}v\psi\,ds+2\int_{\mathbf{R}}v\psi\,ds-\int_{\mathbf{R}}(v_0+v_1)\varphi_1\,ds\end{aligned}$$

due to the property $\psi_t=-\psi/2M$. The relation

$$\psi_{tt}-\psi_{ss}+2MFr(s)^{-3}\psi=\mathrm{e}^{-t/2M}\left(G+\frac{1}{4M^2}\right)\varphi_1=0$$

implies

$$-\int_0^\tau\int_{\mathbf{R}} v\left(\psi_{tt}-\psi_{ss}+\frac{2MF}{r(s)^3}\psi\right)ds\,dt=0;$$

so, being

$$F_1(t)=\mathrm{e}^{-t/2M}\int_{\mathbf{R}}v(t,s)\varphi_1(s)\,ds,$$

we arrive at

$$F_1'(\tau)+2F_1(\tau)=\int_0^\tau\int_{\mathbf{R}} f|v|^p\psi\,ds\,dt+\int_{\mathbf{R}}(v_0+v_1)\varphi_1\,ds.$$

The right side of this identity is greater than ε multiplied by a positive constant (since $\varphi_1>0$ and $\int v_j\,ds\geqslant\varepsilon$, $j=0,1$), so we get

$$F_1'(\tau)+2F_1(\tau)\gtrsim\varepsilon.$$

Now, we multiply both sides by $\mathrm{e}^{2\tau}$ obtaining

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}\left(\mathrm{e}^{2\tau}F_1(\tau)\right)\gtrsim\mathrm{e}^{2\tau}\varepsilon$$

and integrating on $\tau\in[0,t]$ we deduce

$$\mathrm{e}^{2t}F_1(t)\gtrsim F_1(0)+\varepsilon(\mathrm{e}^{2t}-1),$$

namely

$$F_1(t)\gtrsim F_1(0)\,\mathrm{e}^{-2t}+\varepsilon-\varepsilon\,\mathrm{e}^{-2t}\gtrsim\varepsilon,$$

because $F_1(0)=\int v_0\varphi_1>0$. ■

References

[1] **Bachelot A., Motet-Bachelot A.** *Les résonances d'un troa noir de Schwarzschild*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Phys. Théor., 1993, **59**, 3–68.

[2] **Bergh J., Löfström J.** *Interpolation spaces*, Springer–Verlag, 1976.

[3] **Brenner P.** *$L_p - L_{p'}$–estimates for Fourier integral operators related to hyperbolic equations*, Math. Zeitschrift, 1987, **152**, 273–286.

[4] **Choquet–Bruhat Y.** *Global wave maps on black holes.* Jean Leray '99 Conference Proceedings, 469–482, Math. Phys. Stud., **24**, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2003.

[5] **Choquet–Bruhat Y., Dewitt–Morette C., Dillard–Bleick M.** *Analysis, Manifolds and Physics*, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, Laussanne, New York, Oxford, Shanon, Tokyo 1996.

[6] **Christodoulou, D.** *Global solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic equations for small initial data.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 1986, **39**, no. 2, 267–282.

[7] **Fedoryuk M.V.** *Asymptotic Analysis*, Linear Ordinary Differential Equations, Springer–Verlag, 1983.

[8] **Georgiev V.** *Semilinear Hyperbolic Equations*, MSJ Memoirs, Mathematical Society of Japan, 2000, **7**, 1–208.

[9] **Georgiev V., Lindblad H., Sogge C.** *Weighted Strichartz estimates and global existence for semilinear wave equations*, Amer. Jour. Math., 1997, **119** (6), 1291–1319.

[10] **Ginibre J., Velo G.** *Generalized Strichartz inequalities for the wave equation*, J. Funct. Anal., 1995, **133** (1), 50–68.

[11] **Glassey R.** *Existence in the large for $\Box u = F(u)$ in two space dimensions*, Math. Zeitschrift, 1981, **178**, 233–261.

[12] **Ikawa M.** *On the poles of the scattering matrix for two convex obstacles*, Journ. Math. Kyoto Univ, 1983, **23**, 127 – 194.

[13] **Iordanov B., Zhang Qi S.** *Finite time blow up for wave equations with a potential*, preprint 2003.

[14] **Iordanov B., Zhang Qi S.** *Finite time blow up for critical wave equations in high dimensions*, preprint 2004.

[15] **John F.** *Blow–up of solutions of nonlinear wave equations in three–space dimensions*, Manuscripta Mathematica, 1979, **28**, 235–265.

[16] **John F.** *Blow–up for quasi–linear wave equations in three space dimensions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 1981, **34**, 29–51.

[17] **Kapitanski L.** *Weak and yet weaker solutions of semilinear wave equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 1994, **19** (9–10), 1629–1676.

[18] **Kato T.** *Blow–up of solutions of some nonlinear hyperbolic equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 1980, **33**, 501–505.

[19] **Keel M., Tao T.** *Endpoint Strichartz estimates*, Amer. J. Math., 1998, **120** (5), 955–980.

[20] **Klainerman, S.** *The null condition and global existence to nonlinear wave equations.* Nonlinear systems of partial differential equations in applied mathematics, Part 1 (Santa Fe, N.M., 1984), 293–326, Lectures in Appl. Math., **23,** Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.

[21] **Metcalfe J., Sogge C.D.** *Hyperbolic trapped rays and global existence of quasilinear wave equations*, preprint 2003.

[22] **Misner Ch., Thorne K., Wheeler J.** *Gravitation*, vol. III, W.H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco, 1973.

[23] **Pecher H.** *Local solutions of semilinear wave equations in H^{s+1}*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 1996, **19** (2), 145–170.

[24] **Reed M., Simon B.** *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics*, vol. 2, Academic Press, 1975.

[25] **Sà Barreto A., Zworski M.** *Distribution of resonances for spherical black holes*, Math. Res. Letters, 1997, **4**, 103–121.

[26] **Schaeffer J.** *The equation $\Box u = |u|^p$ for the critical value of p*, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh, 1985, **101**, 31–44.

[27] **Sideris T.** *Nonexistence of global solutions to semilinear wave equations in high dimensions* Comm. Partial Diff. Equations, 1987, **12**, 378–406.
[28] **Yi Z.** *Cauchy problem for semilinear wave equations with small data in four space dimensions*, Jour. Diff. Equations, 1995, **8**, 135–144.
[29] **Yi Z.** *Blow up of solutions to semilinear wave equations with critical exponent in high dimensions*, preprint 2004.

Davide Catania, Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli Studi di Pisa, Via F. Buonarroti 2, 56100 Pisa, Italy.

Vladimir Georgiev, Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli Studi di Pisa, Via F. Buonarroti 2, 56100 Pisa, Italy.